

EXISTENCE OF EVEN NUMBER OF POSITIVE SOLUTIONS TO SYSTEM OF FRACTIONAL ORDER BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEMS

B. M. B. KRUSHNA* AND K. R. PRASAD**

ABSTRACT. We establish the existence and multiplicity of positive solutions to a coupled system of fractional order differential equations satisfying three-point boundary conditions by utilizing Avery–Henderson functional fixed point theorems and under suitable conditions.

1. Introduction

In the modeling of many phenomena in distinct areas of science and technology, the differential equations of an arbitrary real order will arise. Fractional calculus is the field of mathematical analysis which unifies the theories of integration and differentiation of any fractional order [5, 6, 12, 13, 14, 19]. Indeed we can find numerous applications in engineering and scientific disciplines like mathematical modeling of systems and processes in various fields such as physics, mechanics, control systems, flow in porous media, electromagnetics and viscoelasticity. We refer the reader to [10, 20] and references therein for some applications.

There has been a significant progress in the investigation on theory of fractional order differential equations constitutes with initial and boundary conditions in recent years. Due to its importance, researchers are concentrating on study the existence of solutions, positive solutions and multiple positive solutions for two-point, multi-point boundary value

Received March 16, 2016; Accepted April 26, 2018.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 26A33; Secondary 34B15, 34B18.

Key words and phrases: fractional derivative, system, boundary value problem, Green's function, positive solution.

Correspondence should be addressed to B. M. B. Krushna, muraleebalu@yahoo.com.

problems concerning the standard Riemann–Liouville fractional order derivative [3, 4, 7, 9, 11, 15, 16, 17].

Recently Prasad, Krushna and Wesen [18] established the existence of multiple positive solutions to the fractional order boundary value problem

$$\begin{aligned} D_{0+}^{\alpha}y(t) + f(t, y(t)) &= 0, \quad t \in (0, 1), \\ y^{(k)}(0) = 0, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, n-2, \quad \zeta D_{0+}^{\beta}y(1) - \vartheta D_{0+}^{\beta}y(\eta) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

We wish to extend these results to the system of fractional order boundary value problems. Motivated by above mentioned papers, in this paper we consider the following three-point fractional order boundary value problems

$$(1.1) \quad D_{0+}^{\gamma_1}w_1(t) + f_1(t, w_1(t), w_2(t)) = 0, \quad t \in (0, 1),$$

$$(1.2) \quad D_{0+}^{\gamma_2}w_2(t) + f_2(t, w_1(t), w_2(t)) = 0, \quad t \in (0, 1),$$

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{cases} w_1^{(k)}(0) = 0, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, n-2, \\ \zeta_1 D_{0+}^{\beta_1}w_1(1) - \vartheta_1 D_{0+}^{\beta_1}w_1(\eta) = 0, \end{cases}$$

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{cases} w_2^{(l)}(0) = 0, \quad l = 0, 1, \dots, n-2, \\ \zeta_2 D_{0+}^{\beta_2}w_2(1) - \vartheta_2 D_{0+}^{\beta_2}w_2(\eta) = 0, \end{cases}$$

where $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in (n-1, n]$ and $n \geq 3$, $\beta_i \in (1, \gamma_i)$, ζ_i, ϑ_i are positive constants, $f_i : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ are continuous and $D_{0+}^{\gamma_i}, D_{0+}^{\beta_i}$, for $i = 1, 2$ are the standard Riemann–Liouville fractional order derivatives. By a positive solution of the fractional order boundary value problem (1.1)–(1.4), we mean $(w_1(t), w_2(t)) \in (C^{\gamma_1}[0, 1] \times C^{\gamma_2}[0, 1])$ satisfying (1.1)–(1.4) with $w_i(t) \geq 0, i = 1, 2$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$ and $(w_1, w_2) \neq (0, 0)$.

The paper is organized as follows: In section 2, we construct the Green functions for the associated linear fractional order boundary value problems and estimate the bounds for these Green functions. In section 3, we establish the existence of at least two positive solutions for the system of fractional order boundary value problem (1.1)–(1.4) by using Avery–Henderson functional fixed point theorem. We also establish the existence of at least $2m$ positive solutions to the boundary value problem (1.1)–(1.4) for an arbitrary positive integer m . In the final section 4, as an application, we demonstrate our results with an example.

2. Preliminaries

In this section we give some definitions, the Green functions for the associated linear fractional order boundary value problems and the bounds for these Green functions, which are useful in the proof of our main results.

DEFINITION 2.1. [14] The Riemann–Liouville fractional integral of order $p > 0$ of a function $f : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is given by

$$I_{0+}^p f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(p)} \int_0^t (t - \tau)^{p-1} f(\tau) d\tau,$$

provided the right-hand side is defined.

DEFINITION 2.2. [14] The Riemann–Liouville fractional derivative of order $p > 0$ of a function $f : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is given by

$$D_{0+}^p f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(k-p)} \frac{d^k}{dt^k} \int_0^t (t - \tau)^{k-p-1} f(\tau) d\tau, \quad (k-1 \leq p < k),$$

provided the right-hand side is defined.

LEMMA 2.3. Let $\Delta_1 = \Gamma(\gamma_1)\mathcal{N}_1 \neq 0$. If $h_1(t) \in C[0, 1]$, then the fractional order differential equations

$$(2.1) \quad D_{0+}^{\gamma_1} w_1(t) + h_1(t) = 0, \quad t \in (0, 1),$$

satisfying the boundary conditions (1.3) has a unique solution

$$w_1(t) = \int_0^1 G_1(t, s) h_1(s) ds,$$

where $G_1(t, s)$ is the Green's function for the problem (2.1), (1.3) and is given by

$$(2.2) \quad G_1(t, s) = \begin{cases} G_{11}(t, s), & 0 \leq t \leq s \leq \eta < 1, \\ G_{12}(t, s), & 0 \leq s \leq \min\{t, \eta\} < 1, \\ G_{13}(t, s), & 0 \leq \max\{t, \eta\} \leq s \leq 1, \\ G_{14}(t, s), & 0 < \eta \leq s \leq t \leq 1, \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 G_{11}(t, s) &= \frac{1}{\Delta_1} \left[\vartheta_1 t^{\gamma_1-1} (1-s)^{\gamma_1-\beta_1-1} - \zeta_1 t^{\gamma_1-1} (\eta-s)^{\gamma_1-\beta_1-1} \right], \\
 G_{12}(t, s) &= \frac{1}{\Delta_1} \left[\vartheta_1 t^{\gamma_1-1} (1-s)^{\gamma_1-\beta_1-1} - \mathcal{N}_1 (t-s)^{\gamma_1-1} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \zeta_1 t^{\gamma_1-1} (\eta-s)^{\gamma_1-\beta_1-1} \right], \\
 G_{13}(t, s) &= \frac{1}{\Delta_1} \left[\vartheta_1 t^{\gamma_1-1} (1-s)^{\gamma_1-\beta_1-1} \right], \\
 G_{14}(t, s) &= \frac{1}{\Delta_1} \left[\vartheta_1 t^{\gamma_1-1} (1-s)^{\gamma_1-\beta_1-1} - \mathcal{N}_1 (t-s)^{\gamma_1-1} \right], \text{ and} \\
 \mathcal{N}_1 &= \vartheta_1 - \zeta_1 \eta^{\gamma_1-\beta_1-1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

For details refer to [18].

LEMMA 2.4. *Let $\mathcal{N}_1 > 0$. Then the Green’s function $G_1(t, s)$ given in (2.2) satisfies*

- (a) $G_1(t, s) \geq 0, \forall t, s \in [0, 1]$,
- (b) $G_1(t, s) \leq G_1(1, s), \forall t, s \in [0, 1]$,
- (c) $G_1(t, s) \geq \tau^{\gamma_1-1} G_1(1, s), \forall t \in [\tau, 1], s \in [0, 1]$.

For details refer to [18].

LEMMA 2.5. *Let $\Delta_2 = \Gamma(\gamma_2)\mathcal{N}_2 \neq 0$. If $h_2(t) \in C[0, 1]$, then the fractional order differential equations*

$$(2.3) \quad D_{0^+}^{\gamma_2} w_2(t) + h_2(t) = 0, \quad t \in (0, 1),$$

satisfying the boundary conditions (1.4), has a unique solution

$$w_2(t) = \int_0^1 G_2(t, s) h_2(s) ds,$$

where $G_2(t, s)$ is the Green’s function for the problem (2.3), (1.4) and is given by

$$(2.4) \quad G_2(t, s) = \begin{cases} G_2(t,s) = \begin{cases} G_{21}(t, s), & 0 \leq t \leq s \leq \eta < 1, \\ G_{22}(t, s), & 0 \leq s \leq \min\{t, \eta\} < 1, \end{cases} \\ G_2(t,s) = \begin{cases} G_{23}(t, s), & 0 \leq \max\{t, \eta\} \leq s \leq 1, \\ G_{24}(t, s), & 0 < \eta \leq s \leq t \leq 1, \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
G_{21}(t, s) &= \frac{1}{\Delta_2} \left[\vartheta_2 t^{\gamma_2-1} (1-s)^{\gamma_2-\beta_2-1} - \zeta_2 t^{\gamma_2-1} (\eta-s)^{\gamma_2-\beta_2-1} \right], \\
G_{22}(t, s) &= \frac{1}{\Delta_2} \left[\vartheta_2 t^{\gamma_2-1} (1-s)^{\gamma_2-\beta_2-1} - \mathcal{N}_2 (t-s)^{\gamma_2-1} \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \zeta_2 t^{\gamma_2-1} (\eta-s)^{\gamma_2-\beta_2-1} \right], \\
G_{23}(t, s) &= \frac{1}{\Delta_2} \left[\vartheta_2 t^{\gamma_2-1} (1-s)^{\gamma_2-\beta_2-1} \right], \\
G_{24}(t, s) &= \frac{1}{\Delta_2} \left[\vartheta_2 t^{\gamma_2-1} (1-s)^{\gamma_2-\beta_2-1} - \mathcal{N}_2 (t-s)^{\gamma_2-1} \right], \text{ and} \\
\mathcal{N}_2 &= \vartheta_2 - \zeta_2 \eta^{\gamma_2-\beta_2-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

For details refer to [18].

LEMMA 2.6. *Let $\mathcal{N}_2 > 0$. Then the Green's function $G_2(t, s)$ given in (2.4) satisfies*

- (a) $G_2(t, s) \geq 0$, $\forall t, s \in [0, 1]$,
- (b) $G_2(t, s) \leq G_2(1, s)$, $\forall t, s \in [0, 1]$,
- (c) $G_2(t, s) \geq \tau^{\gamma_2-1} G_2(1, s)$, $\forall t \in [\tau, 1], s \in [0, 1]$.

For details refer to [18].

Let ψ be a nonnegative continuous functional on a cone P of the real Banach space B . Then for a positive real number c' , the sets are defined as

$$P(\psi, c') = \{y \in P : \psi(y) < c'\} \text{ and } P_a = \{y \in P : \|y\| < a\}.$$

In obtaining multiple positive solutions of the fractional order boundary value problem (1.1)-(1.4), the following Avery–Henderson functional fixed point theorem is fundamental.

THEOREM 2.7. [1] *Let P be a cone in the real Banach space B . Suppose α and γ are increasing, nonnegative continuous functionals on P and θ is nonnegative continuous functional on P with $\theta(0) = 0$ such that, for some positive numbers c' and k , $\gamma(y) \leq \theta(y) \leq \alpha(y)$ and $\|y\| \leq k\gamma(y)$, for all $y \in \overline{P(\gamma, c')}$. Suppose that there exist positive numbers a' and b' with $a' < b' < c'$ such that $\theta(\lambda y) \leq \lambda\theta(y)$, for all $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ and $y \in \partial P(\theta, b')$. Further, let $T : \overline{P(\gamma, c')} \rightarrow P$ be a completely continuous operator such that*

- (B1) $\gamma(Ty) > c'$, for all $y \in \partial P(\gamma, c')$,
- (B2) $\theta(Ty) < b'$, for all $y \in \partial P(\theta, b')$,
- (B3) $P(\alpha, a') \neq \emptyset$ and $\alpha(Ty) > a'$ for all $y \in \partial P(\alpha, a')$.

Then, T has at least two fixed points $y_1, y_2 \in \overline{P(\gamma, c')}$ such that $a' < \alpha(y_1)$ with $\theta(y_1) < b'$ and $b' < \theta(y_2)$ with $\gamma(y_2) < c'$.

3. Main Results

In this section we establish the existence of at least two positive solutions to a coupled system of fractional order boundary value problem (1.1)-(1.4) by using Avery–Henderson functional fixed point theorem. We also establish the existence of at least $2m$ positive solutions to the problem (1.1)-(1.4) for an arbitrary positive integer m .

Consider the Banach space $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{E}$, where $\mathcal{E} = \{w_1 : w_1 \in C[0, 1]\}$ endowed with the norm $\|(w_1, w_2)\| = \|w_1\|_0 + \|w_2\|_0$, for $(w_1, w_2) \in \mathcal{B}$ and the norm is defined as $\|w_1\|_0 = \max_{t \in [0, 1]} |w_1(t)|$.

Define a cone $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{B}$ by

$$\mathcal{P} = \left\{ (w_1, w_2) \in \mathcal{B} : w_1(t) \geq 0, w_2(t) \geq 0, t \in [0, 1] \text{ and} \right. \\ \left. \min_{t \in I} \sum_{i=1}^2 w_i(t) \geq \eta \|(w_1, w_2)\| \right\},$$

where $I = [\tau, 1]$ and

$$(3.1) \quad \eta = \min \left\{ \tau^{\gamma_1 - 1}, \tau^{\gamma_2 - 1} \right\}.$$

Let

$$\mathcal{S}_1 = \min \left\{ \int_0^1 G_1(1, s) ds, \int_0^1 G_2(1, s) ds \right\}, \text{ and} \\ \mathcal{S}_2 = \max \left\{ \int_{s \in I} \eta G_1(1, s) ds, \int_{s \in I} \eta G_2(1, s) ds \right\}.$$

Let us define the nonnegative, increasing, continuous functionals γ, θ and α on the cone \mathcal{P} by

$$\gamma(w_1, w_2) = \min_{t \in I} \left\{ |w_1(t)| + |w_2(t)| \right\}, \\ \theta(w_1, w_2) = \max_{t \in I} \left\{ |w_1(t)| + |w_2(t)| \right\}, \text{ and} \\ \alpha(w_1, w_2) = \max_{t \in [0, 1]} \left\{ |w_1(t)| + |w_2(t)| \right\}.$$

For any $(w_1, w_2) \in \mathcal{P}$, we have

$$(3.2) \quad \gamma(w_1, w_2) \leq \theta(w_1, w_2) \leq \alpha(w_1, w_2)$$

and

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{cases} \|(w_1, w_2)\| \leq \frac{1}{\eta} \min_{t \in I} \{|w_1(t)| + |w_2(t)|\} = \frac{1}{\eta} \gamma(w_1, w_2) \\ \leq \frac{1}{\eta} \theta(w_1, w_2) \leq \frac{1}{\eta} \alpha(w_1, w_2). \end{cases}$$

3.1. Existence of at least two positive solutions

THEOREM 3.1. *Suppose there exist $0 < \Upsilon' < \Phi' < \Psi'$ such that f_i , for $i = 1, 2$ satisfies the following conditions:*

$$(A_1) \quad f_i(t, w_1, w_2) > \frac{\Psi'}{2\mathcal{S}_2}, \quad t \in I \text{ and } w_1, w_2 \in \left[\Psi', \frac{\Psi'}{\eta} \right],$$

$$(A_2) \quad f_i(t, w_1, w_2) < \frac{\Phi'}{2\mathcal{S}_1}, \quad t \in [0, 1] \text{ and } w_1, w_2 \in \left[0, \frac{\Phi'}{\eta} \right],$$

$$(A_3) \quad f_i(t, w_1, w_2) > \frac{\Upsilon'}{2\mathcal{S}_2}, \quad t \in I \text{ and } w_1, w_2 \in \left[\Upsilon', \frac{\Upsilon'}{\eta} \right].$$

Then the fractional order boundary value problem (1.1)-(1.4) has at least two positive solutions (x_1, y_1) and (x_2, y_2) such that

$$\Upsilon' < \max_{t \in [0, 1]} \{|x_1(t)| + |y_1(t)|\} \text{ with } \max_{t \in I} \{|x_1(t)| + |y_1(t)|\} < \Phi',$$

$$\Phi' < \max_{t \in I} \{|x_2(t)| + |y_2(t)|\} \text{ with } \min_{t \in I} \{|x_2(t)| + |y_2(t)|\} < \Psi'.$$

Proof. Let $T_1, T_2 : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ and $T : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be the operators defined by

$$\begin{cases} T_1(w_1, w_2)(t) = \int_0^1 G_1(t, s) f_1(s, w_1(s), w_2(s)) ds, \\ T_2(w_1, w_2)(t) = \int_0^1 G_2(t, s) f_2(s, w_1(s), w_2(s)) ds, \end{cases}$$

and

$$(3.4) \quad T(w_1, w_2)(t) = \left(T_1(w_1, w_2)(t), T_2(w_1, w_2)(t) \right), \text{ for } (w_1, w_2) \in \mathcal{B}.$$

It is obvious that a fixed point of the operator T is the solution of the fractional order boundary value problem (1.1)-(1.4). We seek two fixed points of the operator T . Primarily we show that the operator T

is a self map. Let $(w_1, w_2) \in \mathcal{P}$. Clearly $T_i(w_1, w_2)(t) \geq 0$ for $i = 1, 2$ and $t \in [0, 1]$. Also for $(w_1, w_2) \in \mathcal{P}$,

$$\|T_1(w_1, w_2)\|_0 \leq \int_0^1 G_1(1, s) f_1(s, w_1(s), w_2(s)) ds,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{t \in I} T_1(w_1, w_2)(t) &= \min_{t \in I} \int_0^1 G_1(t, s) f_1(s, w_1(s), w_2(s)) ds \\ &\geq \eta \int_0^1 G_1(1, s) f_1(s, w_1(s), w_2(s)) ds \\ &\geq \eta \|T_1(w_1, w_2)\|_0. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly $\min_{t \in I} T_2(w_1, w_2)(t) \geq \eta \|T_2(w_1, w_2)\|_0$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{t \in I} \{T_1(w_1, w_2)(t) + T_2(w_1, w_2)(t)\} \\ &\geq \eta \|T_1(w_1, w_2)\|_0 + \eta \|T_2(w_1, w_2)\|_0 \\ &= \eta (\|T_1(w_1, w_2)\|_0 + \|T_2(w_1, w_2)\|_0) \\ &= \eta \|(T_1(w_1, w_2), T_2(w_1, w_2))\| \\ &= \eta \|T(w_1, w_2)\|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $T(w_1, w_2) \in \mathcal{P}$ and so $T : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$. Further the operator T is completely continuous by an application of Arzela–Ascoli theorem. From (3.2) and (3.3), for each $(w_1, w_2) \in \mathcal{P}$, $\gamma(w_1, w_2) \leq \theta(w_1, w_2) \leq \alpha(w_1, w_2)$ and $\|(w_1, w_2)\| \leq \frac{1}{\eta} \gamma(w_1, w_2)$. Also for any $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ and $(w_1, w_2) \in \mathcal{P}$,

$$\begin{cases} \theta(\lambda(w_1, w_2)) = \max_{t \in I} \{\lambda(|w_1(t)| + |w_2(t)|)\} \\ \qquad \qquad \qquad = \lambda \max_{t \in I} \{|w_1(t)| + |w_2(t)|\} = \lambda \theta(w_1, w_2). \end{cases}$$

It is clear that $\theta(0, 0) = 0$. We now show that the remaining conditions of Theorem 2.7 are satisfied.

Primarily we shall verify that condition (B1) of Theorem 2.7 is satisfied. Since $(w_1, w_2) \in \partial \mathcal{P}(\gamma, \Psi')$, from (3.3) we have that

$$\Psi' = \min_{t \in I} \{|w_1(t)| + |w_2(t)|\} \leq \|(w_1, w_2)\| \leq \frac{\Psi'}{\eta}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \gamma\left(T(w_1, w_2)(t)\right) &= \min_{t \in I} \sum_{i=1}^2 \left[\int_0^1 G_i(t, s) f_i\left(s, w_1(s), w_2(s)\right) ds \right] \\
 &\geq \sum_{i=1}^2 \left[\int_{s \in I} \eta G_i(1, s) f_i\left(s, w_1(s), w_2(s)\right) ds \right] \\
 &> \sum_{i=1}^2 \left[\int_{s \in I} \eta G_i(1, s) \left(\frac{\Psi'}{2\mathcal{S}_2}\right) ds \right] \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^2 \left[\frac{\Psi'}{2\mathcal{S}_2} \int_{s \in I} \eta G_i(1, s) ds \right] \\
 &= \frac{\Psi'}{2} + \frac{\Psi'}{2} = \Psi',
 \end{aligned}$$

using the condition (A_1) .

Now we shall show that condition $(B2)$ of Theorem 2.7 is satisfied. Since $(w_1, w_2) \in \partial\mathcal{P}(\theta, \Phi')$, from (3.3) we have that $0 \leq (w_1(t), w_2(t)) \leq \|(w_1, w_2)\| \leq \frac{\Phi'}{\eta}$, for $t \in [0, 1]$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
 \theta\left(T(w_1, w_2)(t)\right) &= \max_{t \in I} \sum_{i=1}^2 \left[\int_0^1 G_i(t, s) f_i\left(s, w_1(s), w_2(s)\right) ds \right] \\
 &\leq \sum_{i=1}^2 \left[\int_0^1 G_i(t, s) f_i\left(s, w_1(s), w_2(s)\right) ds \right] \\
 &< \sum_{i=1}^2 \left[\int_0^1 G_i(1, s) \left(\frac{\Phi'}{2\mathcal{S}_1}\right) ds \right] \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^2 \left[\frac{\Phi'}{2\mathcal{S}_1} \int_0^1 G_i(1, s) ds \right] \\
 &= \frac{\Phi'}{2} + \frac{\Phi'}{2} = \Phi',
 \end{aligned}$$

by the condition (A_2) .

Finally utilizing the condition (A_3) , we shall prove that condition $(B3)$ of Theorem 2.7 is satisfied. Since $(0, 0) \in \mathcal{P}$ and $\Upsilon' > 0$, we get $\mathcal{P}(\alpha, \Upsilon') \neq \emptyset$. Since $(w_1, w_2) \in \partial\mathcal{P}(\alpha, \Upsilon')$,

$$\Upsilon' = \max_{t \in [0,1]} \left\{ |w_1(t)| + |w_2(t)| \right\} \leq \|(w_1, w_2)\| \leq \frac{\Upsilon'}{\eta}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha\left(T(w_1, w_2)(t)\right) &= \max_{t \in [0,1]} \sum_{i=1}^2 \left[\int_0^1 G_i(t, s) f_i\left(s, w_1(s), w_2(s)\right) ds \right] \\ &\geq \sum_{i=1}^2 \left[\int_0^1 \eta G_i(t, s) f_i\left(s, w_1(s), w_2(s)\right) ds \right] \\ &\geq \sum_{i=1}^2 \left[\int_{s \in I} \eta G_i(1, s) f_i\left(s, w_1(s), w_2(s)\right) ds \right] \\ &> \sum_{i=1}^2 \left[\int_{s \in I} \eta G_i(1, s) \left(\frac{\Upsilon'}{2\mathcal{S}_2}\right) ds \right] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^2 \left[\frac{\Upsilon'}{2\mathcal{S}_2} \int_{s \in I} \eta G_i(1, s) ds \right] \\ &= \frac{\Upsilon'}{2} + \frac{\Upsilon'}{2} = \Upsilon'. \end{aligned}$$

Thus all the conditions of Theorem 2.7 hold. Therefore the fractional order boundary value problem (1.1)-(1.4) has at least two positive solutions $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2) \in \overline{\mathcal{P}(\gamma, c')}$. This completes the proof. \square

3.2. Existence of $2m$ positive solutions

THEOREM 3.2. *Let m be an arbitrary positive integer. Suppose that there exist numbers $\Upsilon_r (r = 1, 2, \dots, m+1)$ and $\Phi_s (s = 1, 2, \dots, m)$ with $0 < \Phi_1 < \Phi_1 < \Upsilon_2 < \Phi_2 < \dots < \Upsilon_m < \Phi_m < \Upsilon_{m+1}$ such that f_i , for $i = 1, 2$ satisfies the following conditions:*

$$(A_4) \begin{cases} f_i(t, w_1, w_2) > \frac{\Upsilon_r}{2\mathcal{S}_2}, \\ t \in I \text{ and } w_1, w_2 \in \left[\Upsilon_r, \frac{\Upsilon_r}{\eta} \right], \quad r = 1, 2, \dots, m+1, \end{cases}$$

$$(A_5) \begin{cases} f_i(t, w_1, w_2) < \frac{\Phi_s}{2\mathcal{S}_1}, \\ t \in [0, 1] \text{ and } w_1, w_2 \in \left[0, \frac{\Phi_s}{\eta} \right], \quad s = 1, 2, \dots, m. \end{cases}$$

Then the fractional order boundary value problem (1.1)-(1.4) has at least $2m$ positive solutions in $\overline{\mathcal{P}}_{\Upsilon_{m+1}}$.

Proof. We use mathematical induction on m . We know from the conditions (A_4) and (A_5) that $T : \overline{\mathcal{P}}_{\Upsilon_2} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\Upsilon_2}$, then it follows from Avery–Henderson functional fixed point theorem that the fractional order boundary value problem (1.1)-(1.4) has at least two positive solutions in $\overline{\mathcal{P}}_{\Upsilon_2}$ for $m = 1$.

Next we assume that this conclusion holds for $m = l$. In order to prove that this conclusion holds for $m = l + 1$. Suppose that there exist numbers $\Upsilon_r (r = 1, 2, \dots, l + 2)$ and $\Phi_s (s = 1, 2, \dots, l + 1)$ with $0 < \Upsilon_1 < \Phi_1 < \Upsilon_2 < \Phi_2 < \dots < a_{l+1} < \Phi_{l+1} < \Upsilon_{l+2}$ such that f_i , for $i = 1, 2$ satisfies the following conditions:

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{cases} f_i(t, w_1, w_2) > \frac{\Upsilon_r}{2\mathcal{S}_2}, & t \in I \text{ and } w_1, w_2 \in \left[\Upsilon a_r, \frac{\Upsilon_r}{\eta} \right], \\ & r = 1, 2, \dots, l + 2, \end{cases}$$

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{cases} f_i(t, w_1, w_2) < \frac{\Phi_s}{2\mathcal{S}_1}, & t \in [0, 1] \text{ and } w_1, w_2 \in \left[0, \frac{\Phi_s}{\eta} \right], \\ & s = 1, 2, \dots, l + 1. \end{cases}$$

By assumption, the fractional order boundary value problem (1.1)-(1.4) has at least $2l$ positive solutions $(x_i^*, y_i^*) (i = 1, 2, \dots, 2l)$ in $\overline{\mathcal{P}}_{\Upsilon_{l+1}}$. At the same time, it follows from Theorem 3.1, (3.5) and (3.6) that the fractional order boundary value problem (1.1)-(1.4) has at least two positive solutions $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)$ in $\overline{\mathcal{P}}_{\Upsilon_{l+2}}$ such that $\Upsilon_{l+1} < \alpha(x_1, y_1)$ with $\theta(x_1, y_1) < \Phi_{l+1}$ and $\Phi_{l+1} < \theta(x_2, y_2)$ with $\gamma(x_2, y_2) < \Upsilon_{l+2}$. Obviously (x_1, y_1) and (x_2, y_2) are different from $(x_i^*, y_i^*) (i = 1, 2, \dots, 2l)$ in $\overline{\mathcal{P}}_{\Upsilon_{l+1}}$. Therefore the fractional order boundary value problem (1.1)-(1.4) has at least $2l + 2$ positive solutions in $\overline{\mathcal{P}}_{\Upsilon_{l+2}}$, which shows that this conclusion also holds for $m = l + 1$. This completes the proof. \square

4. Example

In this section we give an application of the result established in earlier section.

Consider the system of fractional order boundary value problem,

$$(4.1) \quad D_{0^+}^{2.8} w_1(t) + f_1(t, w_1, w_2) = 0, \quad t \in (0, 1),$$

$$(4.2) \quad D_{0^+}^{2.9} w_2(t) + f_2(t, w_1, w_2) = 0, \quad t \in (0, 1),$$

$$(4.3) \quad w_1(0) = 0, \quad w_1'(0) = 0, \quad 9D_{0^+}^{1.7} w_1(1) - \frac{7}{2} D_{0^+}^{1.7} w_1\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = 0,$$

$$(4.4) \quad w_2(0) = 0, \quad w_2'(0) = 0, \quad 9D_{0^+}^{1.7} w_2(1) - \frac{7}{2} D_{0^+}^{1.7} w_2\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = 0,$$

where

$$f_1(t, w_1, w_2) = \frac{786(w_1 + w_2)^2}{73(w_1 + w_2)^2 + 49932},$$

$$f_2(t, w_1, w_2) = \frac{794(w_1 + w_2)^2}{75(w_1 + w_2)^2 + 52125}.$$

By direct calculations, one can determine $\eta = 0.0825$, $\mathcal{S}_1 = 0.4502$ and $\mathcal{S}_2 = 0.02628$. Choosing $\Upsilon' = 0.002$, $\Phi' = 0.5$ and $\Psi' = 4$, then $0 < \Upsilon' < \Phi' < \Psi'$ and f_i , for $i = 1, 2$ satisfies

- $f_i(t, w_1, w_2) > 76.1035 = \frac{\Psi'}{2\mathcal{S}_2}$, $t \in \left[\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}\right]$ and $w_1, w_2 \in [4, 48.4848]$,
- $f_i(t, w_1, w_2) < 0.5553 = \frac{\Phi'}{2\mathcal{S}_1}$, $t \in [0, 1]$ and $w_1, w_2 \in [0, 6.0606]$,
- $f_i(t, w_1, w_2) > 0.0381 = \frac{\Upsilon'}{2\mathcal{S}_2}$, $t \in \left[\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}\right]$ and $w_1, w_2 \in [0.002, 0.0012]$.

Then all the conditions of Theorem 3.1 hold. Thus with Theorem 3.1, the fractional order boundary value problem (4.1)-(4.4) has at least two positive solutions.

Acknowledgement

The authors thank the referees for their valuable comments and suggestions.

References

- [1] R. I. Avery and J. Henderson, *Two positive fixed points of nonlinear operators on ordered Banach spaces*, Comm. Appl. Nonlinear Anal. **8** (2001), 27-36.

- [2] C. Bai, *Existence of positive solutions for boundary value problems of fractional functional differential equations*, Elec. J. Qual. Theory Diff. Equ. **30** (2010), 1-14.
- [3] Z. Bai and H. Lü, *Positive solutions for boundary value problems of nonlinear fractional differential equations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **311** (2005), 495-505.
- [4] M. Benchohra, J. Henderson, S. K. Ntouyas, and A. Ouahab, *Existence results for fractional order functional differential equations with infinite delay*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **338** (2008), 1340-1350.
- [5] K. Diethelm, *The Analysis of Fractional Differential Equations*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics # 2004, Springer, Heidelberg-Dordrecht etc, 2010.
- [6] K. Diethelm and N. Ford, *Analysis of fractional differential equations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **265** (2002), 229-248.
- [7] C. Goodrich, *Existence of a positive solution to systems of differential equations of fractional order*, Comput. Math. Appl. **62** (2011), 1251-1268.
- [8] D. Guo and V. Lakshmikantham, *Nonlinear Problems in Abstract Cones*, Academic Press, San Diego, 1988.
- [9] J. Henderson and R. Luca, *Positive solutions for a system of nonlocal fractional boundary value problems*, Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal. **16** (2013), 985-1008.
- [10] R. Hilfer, *Applications of Fractional Calculus in Physics*, World Scientific, Singapore, 2000.
- [11] E. R. Kauffman and E. Mboumi, *Positive solutions of a boundary value problem for a nonlinear fractional differential equation*, Electron. J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equ. **3** (2008), 1-11.
- [12] A. A. Kilbas, H. M. Srivastava, and J. J. Trujillo, *Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations*, North-Holland Mathematics Studies # 204, Elsevier Science, Amsterdam, 2006.
- [13] K. S. Miller and B. Ross, *An Introduction to Fractional Calculus and Fractional Differential Equations*, John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1993.
- [14] I. Podlubny, *Fractional Differential Equations*, Academic Press, San Diego, 1999.
- [15] K. R. Prasad and B. M. B. Krushna, *Multiple positive solutions for a coupled system of Riemann–Liouville fractional order two-point boundary value problems*, Nonlinear Stud. **20** (2013), 501-511.

- [16] K. R. Prasad and B. M. B. Krushna, *Eigenvalues for iterative systems of Sturm-Liouville fractional order two-point boundary value problems*, *Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal.* **17** (2014), 638-653.
- [17] K. R. Prasad and B. M. B. Krushna, *Existence of solutions for a coupled system of three-point fractional order boundary value problems*, *Differ. Equ. Appl.* **7** (2015), 187-200.
- [18] K. R. Prasad, B. M. B. Krushna, and L. T. Wesen, *Existence results for multiple positive solutions of Riemann-Liouville fractional order three-point boundary value problems*, *Bull. Int. Math. Virtual Inst.* **6** (2016), 25-36.
- [19] S. G. Samko, A. A. Kilbas, and O. I. Marichev, *Fractional Integral and Derivatives: Theory and Applications*, Gordon and Breach, Langhorne, PA, 1993.
- [20] V. Tarasov, *Fractional Dynamics: Applications of Fractional Calculus to Dynamics of Particles, Fields and Media*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2011.

*

Department of Mathematics
MVGR College of Engineering (Autonomous)
Vizianagaram, 535 005, India
E-mail: muralaebalu@yahoo.com

**

Department of Applied Mathematics
Andhra University
Visakhapatnam, 530 003, India
E-mail: rajendra92@rediffmail.com